



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,769	08/04/2003	Junichi Minamino	YAMAP0881US	6490

43076 7590 04/28/2006

MARK D. SARALINO (GENERAL)
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP
1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191

EXAMINER

PATEL, GAUTAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2627

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/633,769	Applicant(s) MINAMINO ET AL.	
	Examiner Gautam R. Patel	Art Unit 2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are pending for the examination.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

NOTES/REMARKS

3. It not clear from the drawings or from specification how figure 6 and 7 are related what parts in figure 7 constitutes parts 102 105 and 127 in fig. 6. Figures 12 and 13 has the same problem.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected for following reasons.
The title of the invention is neither precise nor descriptive. A new title is required which should include, using twenty words or fewer, claimed features that differentiate the invention from the Prior Art. It is recommended that the title should reflect the gist of or the improvement of the present invention.
Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 8 does not define what is being claimed but simply states what a recording medium has. It is not even clear if claim pertains to an apparatus or a method as such.

When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in computer or an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is non statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material. I.e. abstract idea, stored in a computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 45 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in Benson were unpatentable as

Art Unit: 2627

abstract idea because {[t]he sole particle application of the algorithm was in connection with programming of a general purpose computer.”).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

6. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, lines 1-5 are confusing and unclear. It is not clear at all what is being claimed here. Claim in neither an apparatus nor a method, it simply states medium having some data on it. All mediums inherently has some data on it.

As to claim 14 it is not clear at all if it is an apparatus claim or a method claim. Claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanoue et al., US. patent 6,128,260 (hereafter Tanoue).

As to claim 1, Tanoue discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 1, 6-7] including a data modulation section, a parameter value changing section and a recording section, comprising:

a data modulation section [fig. 6, unit 14] for modulating data in accordance with a prescribed modulation rule [col. 11, lines 24-60];

a parameter value changing section [fig. 6, unit 38] for changing at least one parameter value of the prescribed modulation rule [col. 13, lines 19-29 7 col. 15, lines 8-38]; and

a recording section [fig. 6, unit 5] for recording the data modulated in accordance with the prescribed modulation rule on the recording medium [col. 11, lines 26-60].

8. The aforementioned claim 2, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

the prescribed modulation rule is a state-type modulation rule, and the at least one parameter value is an initial value of a state [col. 11, lines 26-60 & col. 15, lines 8-38].

9. The aforementioned claim 3, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

the prescribed modulation rule uses a digital sum value, and the at least one parameter value is an initial value of the digital sum value [col. 6, lines 21-31].

10. The aforementioned claim 4, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

the parameter value changing section changes the at least one parameter value randomly [col. 15, lines 8-38].

11. The aforementioned claim 5, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

the parameter value changing section changes the at least one parameter value in a prescribed order [col. 15, lines 8-38].

NOTE: moment parameter is in a prescribed order.

12. The aforementioned claim 6, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

comprising a storage section [fig. 6, unit2 and unit 38] for storing a previously used parameter value, wherein the parameter value changing section randomly selects a parameter value to be set from parameter values which are different from the previously used parameter value [col. 11, lines 24-60 & col. 13, lines 30-52];

Art Unit: 2627

13. As to claim 7, it is a method claim corresponding to an apparatus claim 1 and it is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1, above.

14. The aforementioned claim 9, recites the following elements, inter alia, disclosed in Tanoue:

a parameter value changing section [fig. 6, unit 38] for changing a parameter value representing a target value of an offset amount of a data recording position from a prescribed reference position [col. 13, lines 19-29 & col. 15, lines 8-38];

an offset amount changing section [gap field control] for changing the offset amount of the data recording position from the prescribed reference position such that as data recording proceeds, the offset amount of the data recording position from the prescribed reference position approaches the target value [col. 4, lines 41-64 & col. 11, lines 24-60]; and

a recording section [fig. 6, unit 5] for recording the data on the recording medium at the data recording position [col. 11, lines 26-60].

15. As to claims 10-12, they are system claims corresponding to claims 4-6 respectively and they are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 4-6 respectively, above.

16. As to claim 13, it is drawn to a method corresponding to the apparatus of claim 9, and is therefore rejected for similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 9, above.

17. A search based on the best understanding of the claims has been made to find the most pertinent art, but no statement about invention will be appropriate at this time regarding the allowableness of claims 8 and 14 and no art rejection will be made in this office action regarding the claims 8 & 14, due to the speculation required to interpret the claims because of their indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs as noted above (see In re Steele, 134 USPQ 292).

Other prior art cited

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2627

- a) Arts (US. Patent 6084536).
- b) Knado et al. (US. patent 6650607).
- c) Lokhoff et al. (US. patent 5,117,313)


Contact information

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dwayne Bost, who can be reached on (571) 272-7023.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center whose telephone number is 866-217-9197 or the USPTO contact Center telephone number is (800) PTO-9199.


GAUTAM R. PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gautam R. Patel
Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit 2627

April 25, 2006